



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION 1	io	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/039,254 01/02/2002		01/02/2002	Roni Rosner	042390.P12485	9368	
8791	7590	11/01/2005		EXAMINER		
BLAKE	LY SOKOI	LOFF TAYLOR &	KISS, I	KISS, ERIC B		
12400 W	ILSHIRE BO	OULEVARD				
SEVENT	'H FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS AN	GELES, CA	90025-1030	2192			

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/039,254	ROSNER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Eric B. Kiss	2192		

	Lammer	Artonit						
	Eric B. Kiss	2192						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 10 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final reject	on.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as					
NOTICE OF APPEAL	liaman with 27 OFD 44 27 must be	مرية منافاني الممالة	as at the data of					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since					
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because								
<ol> <li>I he proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>			ecause					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		12 00:011),						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
appeal; and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(DTOL 224)					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the								
non-allowable claim(s).								
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,6-25 and 27-29.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)						
13.  Other:	· · ·	· —						

## **Application No. 10/039,254**

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has proposed the cancellation of all pending claims and the addition of new claims 30-65. Since these new claims have not yet been treated on the merits, consideration of the prior art of record and additional search would be required to fairly determine patentability of the proposed claims.

TUAN DAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER